IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 54 OF 2006

STATE OF GOA ....Petitioner

Versus

TARIQ AHMAD BUTTLOO(PRESENTLY IN JUDICIAL LOCKUP, SADA, VASCO. ....Respondent

Ms. Winnie Coutinho,Public Prosecutor for the Applicant.
Respondent in person, present.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 15th November, 2006

P.C.:-

The Applicant is represented by learned Public Prosecutor Ms. Winnie Coutinho. Respondent/Accused is produced and is present in person and states that he does not have a lawyer to represent him and is not interested that any lawyer be provided to represent him as it would not matter to him by which Court he is tried. Learned Public Prosecutor Ms. Winnie Coutinho informs that the Judgment of this Court in Katu Dholo Gaonkar v. State in Criminal Revision Application No.30 of 2005 is under challenge in a S.L.P. pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have perused the impugned Order dated 2-8-2006 of the learned Sessions Judge, Margao which has been passed by placing reliance on the said decision of this Court in the case of Katu Dholo Gaonkar. v. State(supra). The impugned Order therefore could not be faulted. The Judgment of this Court in Katu Dholo Gaonkar v. State(supra) requires to be followed unless it is set aside.Hence, the revision application is hereby dismissed.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.